Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/470,299	YEO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Andy S. Rao	2621
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>	
(1) <u>Andy S. Rao</u> .	(3)	
(2) Vincent Wen Jeng Lue (#56,564).	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>12 April 2007</u>	Time: <u>3:00 EST</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 12 and 20		
Prior art documents discussed: None		·
Part II.	•	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
AND THE EXAMINATE		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/A	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted Mr. Lue to correct claim dependency inconsistencies with claims 12 and 20, and confirmed that the claims should depend from claims 9 and 17, respectively. The Examiner agreed to make such changes with a forthcoming Examiner's amendment and issue a Supplemental Notice of Allowance containing said amendment to the claims..